


Aberdeen-Angus EBV Graph for RAWBURN BAZOOKA T632

[Home](#)
[Animal Enquiry](#)
[EBV Enquiry](#)
[Mating Predictor](#)
[Member Enquiry](#)
[Sale Catalogues](#)
[Semen Catalogues](#)
[Download Files](#)
[Online Transactions](#)
[Disclaimer](#)

EBV Percentiles for RAWBURN BAZOOKA T632



50th Percentile is the Breed Avg. EBVs for 2022 Born Calves

[Graph Explanation](#)

| April 2024 Aberdeen-Angus BREEDPLAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | Calving Ease DIR (%) | Calving Ease DTRS (%) | Gestation Length (days) | Birth Wt. (kg) | 200 Day Wt (kg) | 400 Day Wt (kg) | 600 Day Wt (kg) | Mat Cow Wt (kg) | Milk (kg) | Scrotal Size (cm) | Carcase Wt (kg) | Eye Muscle Area (sq cm) | Fat Depth (mm) | Retail Beef Yield (%) | IMF (%) |
| EBV | -0.3 | +2.7 | -1.8 | +3.5 | +68 | +121 | +139 | +114 | +10 | +2.8 | +87 | +5.8 | -1.5 | +1.1 | +1.2 |
| Accuracy | 52% | 41% | 66% | 81% | 76% | 76% | 73% | 64% | 59% | 72% | 64% | 53% | 64% | 54% | 53% |
| Breed Avg. EBVs for 2022 Born Calves Click for Percentiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EBV | -1.0 | +0.4 | +0.3 | +3.4 | +43 | +78 | +96 | +88 | +14 | +1.1 | +63 | +4.2 | -1.5 | +1.1 | +0.2 |

Traits Analysed: BWT,200WT(x2),400WT,SS,FAT,EMA,IMF

Statistics: Number of Herds: 1, Progeny Analysed: 7, Scan Progeny: 7, Number of Dtrs: 3

| SELECTION INDEX VALUES | | |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Market Target | Index Value | Breed Average |
| Terminal Index | +55 | +36 |
| Self Replacing Index | +73 | +49 |



Online Contact [Information](#)

13 May 2024 [The Aberdeen-Angus Cattle Society](#)

Site Designed & Supported by: [ABRI](#) i4 9.1.6, [Disclaimer](#)

© Copyright 2024 All Rights Reserved.

Estimated Breeding Values can only be directly compared to other EBVs calculated in the same analysis. Results from different analyses are likely based upon different datasets and different underlying parameters and trait definitions.